



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,297	04/02/2004	Gregory H. Bearman	52316/JWP/C766	1886
23363 7590 04/18/2007 CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			EXAMINER WOOD, AMANDA P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
30 DAYS		04/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

J
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE 4/02/04	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION Bearman, Gregory	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 52316/JWP/CT66
---------------------------------	------------------------	---	---------------------------------------

EXAMINER Wood, A

ART UNIT 1657	PAPER
------------------	-------

20070402

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply file on 12 October 2006, a response to a requirement for restriction/election, is not fully responsive to the prior OFFICE ACTION of 11 August 2006 because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): The Examiner's restriction requirement stated that Applicant must elect one invention for examination on the merits from among Invention I, drawn to claims 1-13; and Invention II, drawn to claims 14-25 and 29. However, in Applicant's response of 12 October 2006 to Examiner's requirement for restriction, Applicant elected "without traverse Invention I, including claims 14-25." It is unclear whether Applicant intended to elect Invention I, which includes only claims 1-13, as defined in the Office Action dated 11 August 2006, or whether Applicant intended to elect Invention II, which includes claims 14-25 and 29. Since Applicant did not clearly elect a one invention for examination, the reply filed on 12 October 2006 is not fully responsive to the prior OFFICE ACTION of 11 August 2006.

Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) month or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 I.136(a).

**CHRISTOPHER R. TATE
PRIMARY EXAMINER**